These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed | We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it | Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy

The real issue is the business model. | Platforms must uphold human rights because of the Ruggie Principles/horizontal effect/states’ positive obligations | Big social media companies are the new public square

The real issue is amplification and algorithms | We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them | If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible

---

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

| Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors? | The real issue is amplification and algorithms | If you're not paying for the product, you're the product! |
| Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service | We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency. | The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it |
| Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters | Think of the children | These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed |

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product. You don't own the data!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale? (AI, not blockchain)
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</th>
<th>NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!</th>
<th>Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations</td>
<td>If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!!</td>
<td>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The real issue is the business model.</td>
<td>Yes, but does it scale?</td>
<td>Something something blockchain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them</strong></th>
<th><strong>If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!</strong></th>
<th><strong>Think of the effect on startups</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service</strong></td>
<td><strong>Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression</strong></td>
<td><strong>These companies make money from ads</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations</strong></td>
<td><strong>Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp</strong></td>
<td><strong>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
## Platform Policy Bingo*

| We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them | Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX | We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency. |
| Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp | Think of the unintended consequences | Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters |
| Think of the children | Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations | Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service |

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
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Platform Policy Bingo*

| Deepfakes are not really a new issue | Platforms must uphold human rights because of the Ruggie Principles/horizontal effect/states' positive obligations | Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet |
| Think of the unintended consequences | Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy | Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable |
| An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all] | Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors? | These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed |

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it

Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations

We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platform Policy Bingo*</th>
<th>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</th>
<th>Big social media companies are the new public square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</td>
<td>If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible</td>
<td>Something something blockchain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations</td>
<td>Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression</td>
<td>NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
| Instructor: Stanford Center for Internet and Society  
Intermediary Liability Program |
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Platform Policy Bingo</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Think of the children</th>
<th>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</th>
<th>Big social media companies are the new public square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</td>
<td>Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX</td>
<td>We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet</td>
<td>Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters</td>
<td>An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
# Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Big social media companies are the new public square</th>
<th>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.</th>
<th>Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Think of the unintended consequences</td>
<td>These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed</td>
<td>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platforms must uphold human rights because of the Ruggie Principles/horizontal effect/states’ positive obligations</td>
<td>We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service</td>
<td>Deepfakes will change everything</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product.
20. We need more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The real issue is amplification and algorithms</th>
<th>Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet</th>
<th>Think of the effect on startups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters</td>
<td>Think of the unintended consequences</td>
<td>An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible</td>
<td>Think of the children</td>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.  
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</th>
<th>Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX</th>
<th>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Think of the effect on startups</td>
<td>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.</td>
<td>Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!</td>
<td>Think of the children</td>
<td>Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Counter-Statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Think of the unintended consequences</td>
<td>Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all]</td>
<td>Think of the children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deepfakes will change everything</td>
<td>Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can’t make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX

We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it

Deepfakes will change everything

We need way more data and transparency from platforms

Deepfakes are not really a new issue

The real issue is amplification and algorithms

Regulators know these laws will hurt competition but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable

Yes, but does it scale?

Big social media companies are the new public square

---

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
The real issue is the business model.  

We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.

Big social media companies are the new public square.

Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.

Think of the unintended consequences.

Think of the children.

Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.

Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.

Deepfakes are not really a new issue.

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!

* A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can’t make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!
## Platform Policy Bingo*

| Think of the unintended consequences | Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX | Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable |
| Platforms must uphold human rights because of the Ruggie Principles/horizontal effect/states' positive obligations | These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed | We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them |
| Something something blockchain | These companies make money from ads | Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy |

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card! |

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Think of the effect on startups</th>
<th>Something something blockchain</th>
<th>Yes, but does it scale?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them</td>
<td>Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters</td>
<td>Think of the unintended consequences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The real issue is the business model.</td>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations</td>
<td>We need way more data and transparency from platforms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* *A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
**Platform Policy Bingo**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed</th>
<th>Deepfakes will change everything</th>
<th>Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Think of the unintended consequences</td>
<td>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency</td>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Think of the effect on startups</td>
<td>We need way more data and transparency from platforms</td>
<td>Something something blockchain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
**Platform Policy Bingo**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them</th>
<th>Platforms must uphold human rights because of the Ruggie Principles/horizontal effect/states' positive obligations</th>
<th>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all]</td>
<td>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</td>
<td>Deepfakes will change everything</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency</td>
<td>Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet</td>
<td>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
## Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Something something blockchain</th>
<th>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</th>
<th>The real issue is the business model.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Platforms must uphold human rights because of the Ruggie Principles/horizontal effect/states' positive obligations</td>
<td>These companies make money from ads</td>
<td>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression</td>
<td>Yes, but does it scale?</td>
<td>We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The real issue is amplification and algorithms</th>
<th>Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?</th>
<th>These companies make money from ads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!</td>
<td>We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it</td>
<td>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet</td>
<td>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</td>
<td>Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations

Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable

Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp

We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it

The real issue is amplification and algorithms

Big social media companies are the new public square

If you're not paying for the product, you’re the product!

Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression

Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
Stanford Center for Internet and Society
Intermediary Liability Program

Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</th>
<th>Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way</th>
<th>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible</td>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations</td>
<td>Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp</td>
<td>If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!</td>
<td>Think of the effect on startups</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can’t make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platform Policy Bingo*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The real issue is amplification and algorithms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
22. Platforms must uphold human rights because of the Ruggie Principles/horizontal effects/states’ positive obligations.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
Deepfakes are not really a new issue | The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it | The real issue is the business model.

Yes, but does it scale? | Think of the effect on startups | We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency

The real issue is amplification and algorithms | We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service | Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can’t make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Deepfakes will change everything</th>
<th>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We only hear about Facebook taking down the &quot;Terror of War&quot; Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it</td>
<td>we need way more data and transparency from platforms</td>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters</td>
<td>Think of the unintended consequences</td>
<td>Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
## Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</th>
<th>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</th>
<th>Something something blockchain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Think of the unintended consequences</td>
<td>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</td>
<td>Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes, but does it scale?</td>
<td>Think of the effect on startups</td>
<td>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can’t make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>We need way more data and transparency from platforms</th>
<th>Yes, but does it scale?</th>
<th>Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Something something blockchain</td>
<td>Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way</td>
<td>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deepfakes will change everything</td>
<td>Think of the effect on startups</td>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card! 

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters. 
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors. 
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable. 
4. These companies make money from ads. 
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms. 
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency. 
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy. 
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations. 
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp. 
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service. 
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it. 
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc. 
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way. 
14. Think of the unintended consequences. 
15. Think of the children. 
16. Think of the effect on startups. 
17. The real issue is the business model. 
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service. 
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product! 
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms. 
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX. 
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed. 
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible. 
25. Something something blockchain. 
26. Yes, but does it scale? 
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them. 
28. Deepfakes will change everything. 
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue. 
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression. 
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it. 
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet. 
33. Big social media companies are the new public square. 
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all]. 
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors? 
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
#### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</th>
<th>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</th>
<th>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big social media companies are the new public square</td>
<td>Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX</td>
<td>If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</td>
<td>Think of the effect on startups</td>
<td>Think of the children</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</th>
<th>Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The real issue is the business model.</th>
<th>Think of the children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

We need way more data and transparency from platforms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!</th>
<th>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable

Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations

If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible

Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?

These companies make money from ads

We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it

Big social media companies are the new public square

We need way more data and transparency from platforms

Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
## Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency</th>
<th>The real issue is amplification and algorithms</th>
<th>We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?</td>
<td>We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it</td>
<td>These companies make money from ads</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</td>
<td>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</td>
<td>Something something blockchain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX</th>
<th>Think of the unintended consequences</th>
<th>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy</td>
<td>We need way more data and transparency from platforms</td>
<td>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deepfakes will change everything</td>
<td>Think of the effect on startups</td>
<td>Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals process based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.

Deepfakes will change everything.

NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!

Something something blockchain.

These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.

Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.

If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!

We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.

Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet</th>
<th>2. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</th>
<th>3. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed</td>
<td>5. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression</td>
<td>6. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them</td>
<td>8. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp</td>
<td>9. We need way more data and transparency from platforms</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
## Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deepfakes will change everything</th>
<th>These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed</th>
<th>Platforms must uphold human rights because of the Ruggie Principles/horizontal effect/states' positive obligations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters</td>
<td>We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service</td>
<td>An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</td>
<td>Yes, but does it scale?</td>
<td>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's manageable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
**Platform Policy Bingo**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service</td>
<td>Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet</td>
<td>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</td>
<td>If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible</td>
<td>Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!</td>
<td>Something something blockchain</td>
<td>Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can’t make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Column 1</th>
<th>Column 2</th>
<th>Column 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Think of the unintended consequences</strong></td>
<td><strong>The real issue is the business model.</strong></td>
<td><strong>These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</td>
<td><strong>Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp</strong></td>
<td><strong>Think of the children</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!</td>
<td><strong>If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible</strong></td>
<td><strong>Think of the effect on startups</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of war" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it
Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX
Deepfakes will change everything

We need way more data and transparency from platforms
An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all]
Yes, but does it scale?

These companies make money from ads
We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them
If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Big social media companies are the new public square</th>
<th>Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters</th>
<th>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We only hear about Facebook taking down the &quot;Terror of War&quot; Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it</td>
<td>If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!</td>
<td>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>These companies make money from ads</td>
<td>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</td>
<td>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.  
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.  
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.  
4. These companies make money from ads.  
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.  
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.  
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.  
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.  
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.  
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.  
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.  
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.  
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.  
14. Think of the unintended consequences.  
15. Think of the children.  
16. Think of the effect on startups.  
17. The real issue is the business model.  
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.  
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you're the product!  
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.  
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.  
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.  
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.  
25. Something something blockchain.  
26. Yes, but does it scale?  
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.  
28. Deepfakes will change everything.  
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.  
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.  
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.  
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.  
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.  
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].  
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?  
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
# Platform Policy Bingo*

| The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it | Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression | We need way more data and transparency from platforms |
| Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable | Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service | The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors |
| Something something blockchain | Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters | If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product! |

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can’t make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
Stanford Center for Internet and Society
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Platform Policy Bingo*

| The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it | Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp | We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them |
| Something something blockchain | Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors? | Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service |
| Yes, but does it scale? | Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet | We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency |

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. We need to actually enforce their terms of service. 
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
17. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
18. We need more data and transparency from platforms.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. Big social media companies are the new public square.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
22. Platforms need to actually enforce their terms of service.
23. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
24. Deepfakes will change everything.
25. Deepfakes are not just a new issue.
26. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
29. Big social media companies are the new public square.
30. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
31. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp</strong></th>
<th><strong>Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX</strong></th>
<th><strong>We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy</strong></td>
<td><strong>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</strong></td>
<td><strong>Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them</strong></td>
<td><strong>These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed</strong></td>
<td><strong>NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can’t make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable</th>
<th>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations</th>
<th>Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The real issue is amplification and algorithms</td>
<td>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</td>
<td>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</td>
<td>We need way more data and transparency from platforms</td>
<td>Something something blockchain</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them

Platforms must uphold human rights because of the Ruggie Principles/horizontal effect/states’ positive obligations

The real issue is the business model.

Deepfakes will change everything

Think of the children

The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors

Deepfakes are not really a new issue

The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it

Something something blockchain

* A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action/Point</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Consideration</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they</td>
<td>Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp</td>
<td>We need way more data and transparency from platforms.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>are a threat to free expression</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet</td>
<td>Deepfakes will change everything</td>
<td>Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names</td>
<td>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</td>
<td>Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>so they can be held responsible</td>
<td></td>
<td>like FOX.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.

Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.

These companies make money from ads.

| These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed | Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp | We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it |
| Yes, but does it scale? | NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change! | Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet |
| Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way | Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression | These companies make money from ads |

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</th>
<th>Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp</th>
<th>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</td>
<td>NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!</td>
<td>Deepfakes will change everything</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible</td>
<td>Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression</td>
<td>Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can’t make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product.
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
## Platform Policy Bingo*  

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression</th>
<th>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</th>
<th>Think of the children</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!</td>
<td>Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters</td>
<td>Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?</td>
<td>Yes, but does it scale?</td>
<td>Big social media companies are the new public square</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can’t make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.  
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.  
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.  
4. These companies make money from ads.  
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.  
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.  
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.  
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.  
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.  
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.  
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.  
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.  
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.  
14. Think of the unintended consequences.  
15. Think of the children.  
16. Think of the effect on startups.  
17. The real issue is the business model.  
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their Terms of Service.  
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!  
20. We need more data and transparency from platforms.  
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.  
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.  
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.  
25. Something something blockchain.  
26. Yes, but does it scale?  
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.  
28. Deepfakes will change everything.  
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.  
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.  
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.  
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.  
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.  
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].  
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?  
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
**Platform Policy Bingo**

<p>| | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</td>
<td>An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all]</td>
<td>Big social media companies are the new public square</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations</td>
<td>If you're not paying for the product you're the product!</td>
<td>The real issue is the business model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable</td>
<td>Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way</td>
<td>We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
# Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The real issue is amplification and algorithms</th>
<th>Deepfakes will change everything</th>
<th>Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy</td>
<td>Platforms must uphold human rights because of the Ruggie Principles/horizontal effect/states’ positive obligations</td>
<td>Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</td>
<td>Big social media companies are the new public square</td>
<td>We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can’t make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product.
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes, but does it scale?</th>
<th>Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way</th>
<th>We only hear about Facebook taking down the &quot;Terror of War&quot; Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency</td>
<td>Big social media companies are the new public square</td>
<td>NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?</td>
<td>Regulators know these laws will hurt competition but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook then it's regulable</td>
<td>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can’t make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
**Platform Policy Bingo**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency</th>
<th>The real issue is the business model.</th>
<th>Think of the unintended consequences</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations</td>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy</td>
<td>If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service</td>
<td>Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet</td>
<td>We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.  
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.  
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.  
4. These companies make money from ads.  
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.  
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.  
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.  
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.  
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.  
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.  
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.  
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.  
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.  
14. Think of the unintended consequences.  
15. Think of the children.  
16. Think of the effect on startups.  
17. The real issue is the business model.  
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.  
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!  
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.  
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.  
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.  
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.  
25. Something something blockchain.  
26. Yes, but does it scale?  
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.  
28. Deepfakes will change everything.  
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.  
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.  
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.  
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.  
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.  
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].  
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?  
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].

Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.

Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
# Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency</strong></th>
<th><strong>The real issue is the business model.</strong></th>
<th><strong>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Think of the children</strong></td>
<td><strong>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</strong></td>
<td><strong>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp</strong></td>
<td><strong>Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression</strong></td>
<td><strong>We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible | The real issue is the business model. | NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!

The real issue is amplification and algorithms | We need way more data and transparency from platforms | Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX

Yes, but does it scale? | Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy | Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can’t make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations</th>
<th>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</th>
<th>These companies make money from ads</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed</td>
<td>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</td>
<td>We need way more data and transparency from platforms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible</td>
<td>Platforms must uphold human rights because of the Ruggie Principles/horizontal effect/states’ positive obligations</td>
<td>Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
We need way more data and transparency from platforms

| We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc. |
| Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters |

An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all]

| Deepfakes are not really a new issue |
| We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency |

We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it

| These companies make money from ads |
| The real issue is the business model |

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
Think of the children | We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it | We need way more data and transparency from platforms

We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc. | Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy | Big social media companies are the new public square

Think of the effect on startups | Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors? | We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them

A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all]</th>
<th>We need way more data and transparency from platforms</th>
<th>Deepfakes will change everything</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations</td>
<td>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</td>
<td>Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it</td>
<td>Think of the children</td>
<td>Big social media companies are the new public square</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Platform Policy Bingo</strong>*</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy</td>
<td>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We need way more data and transparency from platforms</td>
<td>Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platforms must uphold human rights because of the Ruggie Principles/horizontal effect/states’ positive obligations</td>
<td>Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
**Platform Policy Bingo**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?</th>
<th>The real issue is amplification and algorithms</th>
<th>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX</td>
<td>Think of the effect on startups</td>
<td>These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency</td>
<td>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</td>
<td>Think of the unintended consequences</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
| Big social media companies are the new public square | These companies make money from ads | Deepfakes are not really a new issue |
| Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet | Think of the effect on startups | We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service |
| Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters | NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change! | Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations |

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</th>
<th>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency</th>
<th>Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The real issue is the business model.</td>
<td>Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression</td>
<td>Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!</td>
<td>Something something blockchain</td>
<td>Think of the children</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
Something something blockchain
Deepfakes are not really a new issue
We need way more data and transparency from platforms

Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp
These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed
If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible

We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
Platforms must uphold human rights because of the Ruggie Principles/horizontal effect/states’ positive obligations
If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!
## Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</th>
<th>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</th>
<th>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The real issue is the business model.</td>
<td>Platforms must uphold human rights because of the Ruggie Principles/horizontal effect/states' positive obligations</td>
<td>Think of the unintended consequences</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The real issue is amplification and algorithms</td>
<td>We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it</td>
<td>Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</th>
<th>Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable</th>
<th>Big social media companies are the new public square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations</td>
<td>The real issue is amplification and algorithms</td>
<td>The real issue is the business model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</td>
<td>These companies make money from ads</td>
<td>Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Platform Policy Bingo</strong> *</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet</td>
<td>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy</td>
<td>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Think of the children</td>
<td>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/ Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters</th>
<th>Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet</th>
<th>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency</td>
<td>Deepfakes will change everything</td>
<td>Think of the children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The real issue is the business model.</td>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy</td>
<td>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
## Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable</th>
<th>If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!</th>
<th>Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?</td>
<td>The real issue is amplification and algorithms</td>
<td>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it</td>
<td>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</td>
<td>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
**Platform Policy Bingo**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Issue</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Policy Implications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We need way more data and transparency from platforms</td>
<td>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</td>
<td>If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</td>
<td>These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed</td>
<td>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp</td>
<td>Deepfakes will change everything</td>
<td>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable

The real issue is the business model.

These companies make money from ads

We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them

These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed

Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?

Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet

Big social media companies are the new public square

We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can’t make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
**Platform Policy Bingo***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible</th>
<th>Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet</th>
<th>If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</td>
<td>Think of the unintended consequences</td>
<td>Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy</td>
<td>Big social media companies are the new public square</td>
<td>Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Row</th>
<th>If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!</th>
<th>Big social media companies are the new public square</th>
<th>Something something blockchain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service</td>
<td>NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!</td>
<td>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp</td>
<td>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</td>
<td>Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can’t make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
# Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency</th>
<th>We need way more data and transparency from platforms</th>
<th>NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations</td>
<td>Yes, but does it scale?</td>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet</td>
<td>Deepfakes will change everything</td>
<td>We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can’t make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
We need way more data and transparency from platforms.

We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.

We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.

An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrenched them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13.Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platform Policy Bingo*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removes based on law from removes based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
**Platform Policy Bingo**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Think of the effect on startups</th>
<th>Yes, but does it scale?</th>
<th>Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all]</td>
<td>We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it</td>
<td>Deepfakes will change everything</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</td>
<td>We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them</td>
<td>Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.

Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.

Deepfakes will change everything.

If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.

NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!

Deepfakes are not really a new issue.

Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.

Big social media companies are the new public square.

The real issue is amplification and algorithms.

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!
We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.

Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.

Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?

Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.

Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.

Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.

Deepfakes will change everything.

We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.

If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Platform Policy Bingo*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Think of the effect on startups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We need way more data and transparency from platforms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Something something blockchain</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platforms must uphold human rights because of the Ruggie Principles/horizontal effect/states’ positive obligations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can’t make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrenched them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>We need way more data and transparency from platforms</th>
<th>The real issue is the business model.</th>
<th>Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX</td>
<td>Something something blockchain</td>
<td>Facebook took down the &quot;Terror of War&quot; Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency</td>
<td>An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all]</td>
<td>We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is data and transparency from platforms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>The real issue is the business model.</th>
<th>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency</th>
<th>Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</td>
<td>Big social media companies are the new public square</td>
<td>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deepfakes will change everything</td>
<td>An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all]</td>
<td>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use Al is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
The real issue is amplification and algorithms.

Platforms must uphold human rights because of the Ruggie Principles/horizontal effect/states' positive obligations.

Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.

If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!

Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.

We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.

Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.

Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.

Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?

NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!

* A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
## Platform Policy Bingo*

| Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters | If you're not paying for the product, you're the product! | Something something blockchain |
| Big social media companies are the new public square | Think of the children | Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp |
| The real issue is the business model. | Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations | The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors |

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency</th>
<th>Yes, but does it scale?</th>
<th>The real issue is amplification and algorithms</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them</td>
<td>Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression</td>
<td>Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Think of the unintended consequences</td>
<td>These companies make money from ads</td>
<td>Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Deepfakes will change everything</th>
<th>We only hear about Facebook taking down the &quot;Terror of War&quot; Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it</th>
<th>Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet</td>
<td>Platforms must uphold human rights because of the Ruggie Principles/horizontal effect/states' positive obligations</td>
<td>The real issue is the business model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?</td>
<td>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</td>
<td>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it

We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.

Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression

Think of the effect on startups

The real issue is the business model.

Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX

Yes, but does it scale?

Big social media companies are the new public square

The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws were outdated; the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Think of the children</strong></th>
<th><strong>Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?</strong></th>
<th><strong>Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency</td>
<td>We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service</td>
<td>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Big social media companies are the new public square</td>
<td>Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way</td>
<td>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
### Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations

Big social media companies are the new public square

Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?

We only hear about Facebook taking down the "Terror of War" Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it

Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way

Deepfakes are not really a new issue

Yes, but does it scale?

These companies make money from ads

Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy

---

* *A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable</th>
<th>We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it</th>
<th>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>These companies make money from ads</td>
<td>If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!</td>
<td>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?</td>
<td>NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!</td>
<td>Think of the unintended consequences</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

| Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy | Deepfakes are not really a new issue | These companies make money from ads |
| Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors? | Yes, but does it scale? | Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations |
| The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors | The real issue is the business model. | We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc. |

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable</th>
<th>Platforms must uphold human rights because of the Ruggie Principles/horizontal effect/states' positive obligations</th>
<th>The real issue is the business model.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Deepfakes will change everything</td>
<td>If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!</td>
<td>Something something blockchain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible</td>
<td>NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!</td>
<td>Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
Stanford Center for Internet and Society
Intermediary Liability Program

Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed</th>
<th>An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all]</th>
<th>Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Think of the children</td>
<td>The real issue is the business model.</td>
<td>Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</td>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy</td>
<td>Think of the effect on startups</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
7. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
8. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
9. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
10. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
Stanford Center for Internet and Society
Intermediary Liability Program

Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>We need way more data and transparency from platforms</th>
<th>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</th>
<th>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors</td>
<td>If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible</td>
<td>These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it</td>
<td>We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them</td>
<td>If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren’t making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can’t make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
**Platform Policy Bingo***

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes, but does it scale?</th>
<th>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency</th>
<th>Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations</td>
<td>Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters</td>
<td>NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The real issue is amplification and algorithms</td>
<td>Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable</td>
<td>Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all]</th>
<th>We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency</th>
<th>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?</td>
<td>These companies make money from ads</td>
<td>Something something blockchain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deepfakes will change everything</td>
<td>If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible</td>
<td>We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change!
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement</th>
<th>Bingo Card</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX</td>
<td>Think of the unintended consequences</td>
<td>We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.</td>
<td>Think of the children</td>
<td>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</td>
<td>Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?</td>
<td>If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms or editors?
36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Yes, but does it scale?</th>
<th>Think of the effect on startups</th>
<th>We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy</td>
<td>Think of the unintended consequences</td>
<td>Deepfakes are not really a new issue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way</td>
<td>Deepfakes will change everything</td>
<td>Big social media companies are the new public square</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Something something blockchain.
26. Yes, but does it scale?
27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
28. Deepfakes will change everything.
29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
33. Big social media companies are the new public square.
34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all].
35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms or editors?
### Platform Policy Bingo*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet</strong></th>
<th><strong>Deepfakes will change everything</strong></th>
<th><strong>The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Something something blockchain</strong></td>
<td><strong>Think of the effect on startups</strong></td>
<td><strong>Big platforms are so powerful they are like states so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service</strong></td>
<td><strong>The real issue is the business model.</strong></td>
<td><strong>Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable.</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!

---

1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can't hire armies of moderators or build filters.
2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors.
3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it's regulable.
4. These companies make money from ads.
5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms.
6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency.
7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy.
8. Big platforms are so powerful; they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations.
9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp.
10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service.
11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it.
12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.
13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn't complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way.
14. Think of the unintended consequences.
15. Think of the children.
16. Think of the effect on startups.
17. The real issue is the business model.
18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service.
19. If you're not paying for the product, you're the product!
20. We need way more data and transparency from platforms.
21. Don't forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX.
22. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed.
23. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users' real names so they can be held responsible.
25. Yes, but does it scale?
26. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them.
27. Deepfakes will change everything.
28. Deepfakes are not really a new issue.
29. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression.
30. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it.
31. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet.
32. Big social media companies are the new public square.
33. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn't AI at all].
34. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors?
35. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that's a huge change!
These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed

Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX

Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp

Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable

Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service

We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc.

The real issue is amplification and algorithms

Think of the effect on startups

Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy

| 1. Most Internet platforms/intermediaries are nothing like Facebook or YouTube, and can’t hire armies of moderators or build filters. |
| 2. The rules intended to curb big platforms like Facebook and Google actually entrench them and hurt competitors. |
| 3. Regulators know these laws will hurt competition, but they like that because if the Internet is just Google and Facebook, then it’s regulable. |
| 4. These companies make money from ads. |
| 5. The real issue is amplification and algorithms. |
| 6. We should focus on fair removals process, platforms need to provide clear rules, appeals, and transparency. |
| 7. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they need ambassadors and a foreign policy. |
| 8. Big platforms are so powerful, they are like states, so they should have state-like human rights obligations. |
| 9. Think about how these platform content regulation issues play out on a semi-private encrypted channel like WhatsApp. |
| 10. We must distinguish removals based on law from removals based on Terms of Service. |
| 11. The elephant in the room is China, we need to talk about it. |
| 12. We spend too much time on China, when some of the most important questions are coming from Brazil/Turkey/India/Hungary/etc. |
| 13. Platforms already enforce the US DMCA globally, so Americans shouldn’t complain when other countries want their laws enforced that way. |
| 14. Think of the unintended consequences. |
| 15. Think of the children. |
| 16. Think of the effect on startups. |
| 17. The real issue is the business model. |
| 18. Platforms need to start by actually enforcing their terms of service. |
| 19. If you’re not paying for the product, you’re the product! |
| 20. We need more data and transparency from platforms. |
| 21. Don’t forget that plenty of disinformation comes from legacy media companies like FOX. |
| 23. These laws are outdated, the Internet was very different when they were passed. |
| 24. If platforms want immunity, they should have to retain users’ real names so they can be held responsible. |
| 25. Something something blockchain. |
| 26. Yes, but does it scale? |
| 27. We need to think about moderators as a workforce, and protect them. |
| 28. Deepfakes will change everything. |
| 29. Deepfakes are not really a new issue. |
| 30. Facebook took down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo, and that shows they are a threat to free expression. |
| 31. We only hear about Facebook taking down the “Terror of War” Vietnam photo because it affected people with the power to call attention to it. |
| 32. Amending CDA 230 will break the Internet. |
| 33. Big social media companies are the new public square. |
| 34. An example of how platforms use AI is [something that isn’t AI at all]. |
| 35. Platforms need to choose: are they neutral platforms, or editors? |
| 36. NetzDG puts platforms in the role of courts, that’s a huge change! |

*A lot of these are totally valid points and we aren't making fun of anyone for making them. But - you can't make them and then also claim credit on your bingo card!*